



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/512,884	02/25/2000	Toshiyuki Kashiwagi	000207	-7754	
23850 7	7590 07/02/2003		,		
	ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP			EXAMINER	
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000		HUYNH, KIM T			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		, ,	2189	10	
	, ,		DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ppe
, Na. 6	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\\
Advisory Action	09/512,884	KASHIWAGI ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kim T. Huynh	2189	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACT Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	ed to avoid abandonment of this her: (1) a timely filed amendme Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) 14.	s application. A proper reply tent which places the application a timely filed Request for Co	to a
_	OR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mail			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f).	expire later than SIX MONTHS from t	he mailing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(after have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	period of extension and the correspon- date of the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months afte	ding amount of the fee. The approp	riate extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3	ellant's Brief must be filed within 37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disn	n the period set forth in nissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ered because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see I		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
(c)	ation in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without c	anceling a corresponding numl	per of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See attachment.	•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s)v canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed am	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reque application in condition for allowance because	est for reconsideration has been se: <u>see attachment</u> .	n considered but does NOT p	lace the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	d because it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were no	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clair	dment(s) a)⊠ will not be entere ms would be rejected is provid∉	ed or b) will be entered and ed below or appended.	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as foll	lows:		
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_·		
B. The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner	·.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State			
		· / 	

MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

10. Other: ____

Art Unit: 2189

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

The amendments are newly added limitations to claims 1-8 that would require further consideration and/or search. Applicant replaced "by" with "between", "beween" has different scope than "by". "By" means the area that is near, close or next to the two elements, meanwhile "between" means an interval that separates the two elements. Thus the claim language is now more specific and therefore will change the scope of the claims.

Kim Huynh

June 30, 2003

Mark H. Rinehart Supervisory patent examiner Technology Center 2100